

Great Yarmouth Third River Crossing Order 202[*]

Document NCC/GY3RC/EX/013: Mitigation Schedule Errata Report

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

Planning Inspectorate Reference Number: TR010043

Author: Norfolk County Council

Document Reference: NCC/GY3RC/EX/013

Date: 8 October 2019

CONTENTS		PAGE No.
Tables.....		iii
1 Introduction		1
2 Mitigation Schedule Errata Explanation Table		2

Tables

Table 1.1: Mitigation Schedule Errata Explanation Table..... 2

1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 This document notes errata which the Applicant has identified in the Mitigation Schedule (Document Reference 6.13 / Planning Inspectorate Reference APP-184). It sets out, in tabular form, comments on these errata which address and identify corrections, typographical errors and drafting inconsistencies (such as erroneous or omitted cross-references).
- 1.1.2 Having identified the errata noted in this document, the Applicant has prepared an updated version of the Mitigation Schedule taking into account the errata. The Update to the Mitigation Schedule is being submitted (in tracked and clean versions (Document References NCC/GY3RC/EX/014 and NCC/GY3RC/EX/015 respectively) alongside this Mitigation Schedule Errata Report (Document Reference NCC/GY3RC/EX/013) at Deadline 1 (8 October 2019).
- 1.1.3 For the avoidance of doubt, none of the errata corrections or clarifications constitute a change (either material or non-material) to the Scheme which is the subject of the application as submitted.

2 Mitigation Schedule Errata Explanation Table

Table 2.1: Mitigation Schedule Errata Explanation Table

Reference Number	Nature of Erratum	Explanation / Revised Text
Mitigation Schedule (Document Reference 6.13 / Planning Inspectorate Reference: APP-184)		
1	Typographical	In the Glossary, on page iv, the definition of the term 'Proposed Consultation Scheme Boundary', should include a PEIR document reference (i.e. Document Reference 5.2 – Consultation Report Appendix G-05; Planning Inspectorate Reference APP-045).
2	Omission	Paragraph 2.1.3 Bullet point 5: add text “, and if said effects are significant” at end.
3	Omission	Table 3.1, Row 1, Column 6. Add text from the Outline CoCP (paragraph 3.3.2), which sets out further monitoring measures.
4	Omission	Table 3.1, Row 1, Column 4. Add text from the Outline CoCP (paragraph 3.3.3).
5	Typographical	Table 3.1, Row 1, Column 4. Paragraph 1, bullet point 11. “Skips will be securely covered” should be a separate bullet point.
6	Omission	Table 3.1, Row 2, Columns 3 & 5. Add “(not significant)” after “negligible” in both columns.
7	Omission	Table 3.2, Row 1, Columns 3 & 5. Add “(not significant)” after “Neutral” and add “(significant)” after “Very Large” and “Large”.
8	Typographical	Table 3.2, Row 1, Column 4. Delete bullet point 3 to remove unnecessary repetition.
9	Clarification	Table 3.3, Row 1, Column 5. Bullet point 9 Text relating to “[...] black redstarts [...]” and to “[...] the provision of artificial nest sites [...]” should be deleted as this is captured as embedded mitigation, referenced in Column 4 in Row 1 of Table 3.3.

Reference Number	Nature of Erratum	Explanation / Revised Text
10	Clarification	Table 3.3, Row 5 (Water voles), Column 5. Paragraph 4, bullet points 3 to 5 should be revised to reflect the text in paragraph 5.3.4 (bullet points 3 and 4) of the Outline CoCP.
11	Omission	Table 3.3, Row 5, Column 5. Paragraph 4, text should be added from the Outline CoCP paragraph 5.3.4 (bullet point 8) relating to ‘the desilting works’ to which watercourse 2 will be subject, so that the Outline CoCP and the Mitigation Schedule are consistent.
12	Omission	Table 3.3, row 4, column 4 Paragraph 1 and subsequent bullet points added to mitigation schedule to reflect the content of paragraph 5.4.1 of the Outline CoCP.
13	Omission	Table 3.4, Row 2, Column 4. Add text from Chapter 9 of the ES (paragraph 9.9.13), which outlines a specific mitigation measures, to ensure consistency between the two documents.
14	Typographical	Table 3.4, Row 5, Column 1. “Below-ground heritage assets” should be “Below-ground archaeological assets and paleoenvironmental deposits”.
15	Omission	Table 3.5, Rows 1 and 2, Column 4. Add text “Additional Mitigation” as subheading before “No additional mitigation [...]” text.
16	Omission	Table 3.5, Rows 1 and 2, Column 7. Add text “Schedule 2 to the dDCO” after “will be secured through the landscaping requirement in”.
17	Typographical	Table 3.5, Row 2, Column 4. Document reference 6.1 is incorrect. The correct document reference is 3.1 (Planning Inspectorate Reference APP-020).
18	Typographical	Table 3.6, Row 1, Column 3. “Moderate Adverse (significant)” should be “Slight Adverse (not significant)”.
19	Omission	Table 3.6, Row 1, Column 4 add text to Embedded Mitigation section after bullet point 15: “Cofferdam dewatering rates would be such that the spread of saline intrusion would not infringe

Reference Number	Nature of Erratum	Explanation / Revised Text
		upon the licensed abstractor zone of influence”, for consistency with paragraph 11.7.1 of the ES (Document Reference 6.1, Planning Inspectorate Reference APP-096).
20	Clarification	Table 3.6, Row 1, Column 6 states that “No monitoring is proposed”. Text should be revised to replicate paragraph 11.9.1 of Chapter 11 of the ES, which outlines the proposed monitoring (comprising of water quality monitoring).
21	Typographical	Table 3.6, Row 6, Column 3. “Neutral (not significant)” should be “Neutral to Slight Adverse (not significant)”.
22	Omission	Table 3.6, Row 10 & Row 16, Column 3. Add text: “(not significant)” after “Neutral”.
23	Clarification	Table 3.7, Row 2, Column 4. For consistency with the ES, replace paragraph 1 in Row 2 with text from paragraph 12.8.31 of the ES – to remove the slight differences between the text which notes mitigation measures for tidal flooding.
24	Clarification	Table 3.7, Row 2, Column 4. For consistency with the ES, amend paragraphs 2 and 3 to match the text in paragraphs 12.8.38, 12.8.39 and 12.8.40 of the ES (Document Reference 6.1, Planning Inspectorate Reference APP-096).
25	Omission	Table 3.8, Row 3, Column 4. Add text “The double-leaf bascule bridge will be supported on piles. The design includes driven piles which will be of sufficient depth to ensure the structural integrity is resistant from scour arising from climate change” after bullet point 9, to accord with corresponding entries in the ES (Document Reference 6.1, Planning Inspectorate Reference APP-096).
26	Typographical	Table 3.9, Row 1, Column 3. Add “(not significant)” after “Slight Beneficial”.
27	Omission	Table 3.9, Row 1, Column 4. Add paragraphs 9.2.3 to 9.2.6 from the CoCP after paragraph 1.
28	Clarification	Table 3.9, Row 1, Column 4. Replace bullet point 2 with paragraphs 9.2.2 – 9.2.9 from the Outline CoCP.

Reference Number	Nature of Erratum	Explanation / Revised Text
29	Omission	Table 3.9, Row 1, Column 4. Add subheading “Additional Mitigation” before text “no additional mitigation proposed”.
30	Typographical	Table 3.9, Row 2, Columns 3 and 5. Add “(significant)” after “Moderate Beneficial”.
31	Omission	Table 3.11, Row 1, Column 4. Add introductory text to Embedded Mitigation Bullet points “Embedded mitigation during construction comprises the following which will be included as part of the outline CoCP (document reference 6.16)”.
32	Clarification	Table 3.11, Row 1, Column 4. Delete paragraph 4 and move bullet points to paragraph 2.
33	Clarification	Table 3.11, Row 3, Column 3 and 5 (infrastructure) should be “Neutral to Slight Adverse (not significant)” rather than “Neutral (not significant)”.
34	Omission	Table 3.12, Row 1, Column 4. Add bullet point to paragraph 2: “Minimise the number of single occupancy car trips” for consistency with the Framework CTMP (document reference 6.16A) and Framework CWTP (document reference 6.16B).